

F780011-0573

COPY NO. 2 1763

DECLASSIFICATION DATE 6/16/97

PER Shickling OFFICE Fun

FADRC FOI CASE NO. 620289 Bernbaum

October 26, 1962

UNCLASSIFIED

TO: The Secretary
 The Under Secretary ✓
 C - The Deputy Under Secretary

DEPARTMENT OF STATE A/CDC/MR

REVIEWED BY Sh DATE 5/19/88

DECLASSIFY
 () EXEMPT () DECLASSIFY IN PART
 () DELAY () Non-responsive DOC.
 FOI, EO or PA exemption

THROUGH: S/S

FROM: S/P - W. W. Rostow

SUBJECT: Alliance Missiles.

EO authority to
 () CLASSIFY as
 () DOWNGRADE IS to () Set () C, CADR

From all my diplomatic contacts, including, for example, a breakfast with Kim and the Korean Ambassador this morning, it is clear to me that to our Allies the measure of our success or failure in this crisis will be whether we get the missiles out of Cuba without negotiating away any Free World assets. Anxiety in this respect has come to rest, even among Koreans, on the Turkish missiles.

It seems to me extremely urgent, therefore, that, along a track wholly independent of the crisis, we, building on the MRBM effort in the North Atlantic Council, find a method for signaling diplomatically a clear-cut decision on the multi-lateral MRBM proposal, even though it will evidently take time fully to implement. In this connection, you should see a report of the Turkish Ambassador's conversation with Mr. Talbot on this subject.

Such a decision in principle now have two effects. If the Soviets wish to read it as a way of helping get them off the hook, they would be free to do so; on the other hand, it would nail down the missile position of the Alliance and make the kind of deal the Soviets may have in mind, designed to disrupt the confidence of the Alliance in the U.S., impossible.

UNCLASSIFIED

87- DOS 0317

PB

~~SECRET~~
UNCLASSIFIED
-2-
DECLASSIFIED

One expeditious way of proceeding might be to act on Ambassador Finletter's proposal (POLTO 506) that we set up a small Southern Command multilateral seaborne force on a pilot basis, deployed in the Eastern Mediterranean, and manned by Turkish, Italian, U.S., and possibly Greek, mixed crews.

Such a step, as a precursor to establishment of a larger multilateral sea-based force would reaffirm our nuclear commitment to Europe's defense, make clear that we would not make deals at Allied expense, and also perhaps pave the way for: (1) Turkish and Italian statements that they would phase out IREBs in view of this substitute; (2) a possible exchange of U.S. and Soviet declarations that they would not facilitate procurement of MRBMs for land-based national deployment in NATO and Warsaw Pact countries outside the U.S. and the USSR (based on our repeated statements that we would only facilitate procurement of MRBMs for a multilateral sea-based force).

~~SECRET~~
UNCLASSIFIED
DECLASSIFIED